Infestation of *Crassostrea cf. brasiliana* by boring-polychaete polydorids in estuaries from Northeastern Brazil
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**ABSTRACT**

The presence of polydorids shell-borer and the epifaunal polychaetes on *Crassostrea cf. brasiliana* were studied in five estuaries in Northeastern Brazil. The prevalence of shell-boring polychaetes in oysters varied significantly, showing the dominance of *Polydora websteri*, with 57% of sampled oysters, and *Boccardiella ligerica*, with 11%, but the prevalence was significantly different among estuaries sampled. Capibaribe River showed the highest infestation, with 81% these mud-blisters, but in Massangana River had no presence of these polychaetes. The linear regression between the number of polychaetes and the size of oysters was significant, suggesting that infestation increases with age.
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**Introduction**

The family Spionidae has more than 500 nominal species, and 35 genera (Radashevsky, 2012), that live in a variety of marine environments from sandy beaches to limestone substrate (Williams, 2000). Among this family, only the polydorid species present a modification of chaetiger and have the ability to bore into calcareous substrata that seem to be widespread among the species of the genera *Polydora* and *Dipolydora* (Sato-Okoshi, 2000). However, some species of the following spionid genera infest calcareous substrates as mollusk shells: *Amphipolydora*, *Boccardia*, *Boccardiella*, *Carazziella*, *Dipolydora*, *Polydora*, *Pseudopolydora* and *Tripolydora* (Bower et al., 1994; Blake, 1996). Species from the genus *Polydora* make holes in a substrate containing calcium carbonates as rock or coralline algae, like oysters, mussels, and gastropods (Boscolo & Giovanardi, 2002). In the perforation process, the worm dissolves the shell using secretions together with the action of falcate notochaetae spines (modified chaetae) from chaetiger fifth to form a shaped-U hollow that has a central island filled by thin detritus, which can have almost 20 mm of length (Zottoli & Carriker, 1974).

The presence of drilling organisms in mollusks shells cause damage to these hosts, weakening the limestone skeleton, increasing the energy expenditure for shell maintenance and may be vectors of diseases, factors that reduce its commercial value, and condition reduced index (Wargo & Ford, 1993; Handley & Bergquist, 1997; Boscolo & Giovanardi, 2002; Read, 2010). The infestation degree of polydorids varies between oyster species and suffers a direct influence of environmental parameters, mainly the temperature (Nel et al., 1996; Handley & Bergquist, 1997). Large infestations can leave the host vulnerable and hence more susceptible to predators such as crabs. Often the infestations are not visible in the new polydiariosis (Boscolo & Giovanardi, 2002; Sabry & Magalhães, 2005).

The relationships between oysters and infesting polychaetes remain unknown for several localities (Blake & Kudenov, 1978; Radashevski, 2013). Radashevski et al. (2006) studied *Polydora* species of South America, but do not consider the presence of *Polydora websteri* Hartman in Loosanoff & Engle, 1943. These authors
identified *P. rickettsi* Wooddwick, 1962, *P. ecuadoriana* Blake, 1983, *P. haswelli* Blake & Kudenov, 1978 and described a new species *P. carinhosa*. However, *P. websteri* was synonymized in that paper by *P. cf. haswelli*.

*Crassostrea* cf. *brasiliana* occurs from Maranhão to Santa Catarina, in mangroves and rocky shores (Amaral & Simone, 2014). This study aims to determine the diversity of polychaetes within *C. cf. brasiliana* and their infestation and prevalence rates from five estuaries of Northeastern Brazil.

**Material and Methods**

Samplings were collected in five estuaries from the coast of Pernambuco: Goiana River (GR) and Santa Cruz Canal (SC), Capibaribe River (CR); Massangana River (MR) and Sirinhaém River (SR) (Figure 1). The specimens were carried out in each River at three sites 50 meters distant from each other in the estuary with the highest oyster’s density. Twenty oysters were collected at each location, being ten in subtidal and ten at intertidal. The material was fixed in formaldehyde 4% solution buffered with salt water and later rinsed with fresh water. Posteriorly the material was transferred to alcohol 70%.

The height and width of valves were measured, and the epifaunal organisms were removed. The drilling organisms were counted and removed. The shells were broken with cutting pliers to remove the infaunal organisms. All the individuals were wrapped and labeled separately in pots of 10 ml with 70% alcohol.

The infestation rate was calculated by the number of organisms per oyster, and the prevalence rate as the percentage of infested oysters in a given site. The differences between the infestation rates in the studied estuaries were heteroscedastic, and thus analyzed by the Kruskal-Wallis, with Dunn test a posteriori. However, to analyze the infestation rate in the Capibaribe River, the ANOVA was used because the variance was homogeneous. The differences between the richness of species were verified from the Kruskal-Wallis-Dunn.

Figure 1. Map of the study area showing the five studied estuaries in Pernambuco State, Brazil.
Results

The organisms inside shell holes were found in 47% of observed oysters, with five species and almost three thousand specimens: *P. websteri*, with 98% of the total number of organisms, and *Boccardiella ligerica* (Ferronière, 1898) were considered as true drillers. The other organisms found in the holes were the tanaidacean *Sinelobus stanfordi* (Richardson, 1901), the sabellid *Chone* sp. and the syllid *Syllis* sp.

The occurrence of these five species and prevalence rates were different among estuaries, with no recorded species in Massangana River. *B. ligerica*, however, occurred only in Goiana and Sirinhaém.

The infestation rate of *P. websteri* within intertidal oysters was significantly higher in the Capibaribe estuary (Figure 2) when compared to the other three estuaries (Kruskal-Wallis/Dunn, \(H_{(3, 136)} = 56.84, p < 0.0001\)). In this estuary, the oyster at the sublittoral showed a significantly higher infestation rate than on the intertidal region (\(F_{(1, 58)} = 4.69, p = 0.0344\)), with an average of 61.1 individuals per oyster in the sublittoral against 28.3 individuals per the oyster in the intertidal region.

The *P. websteri* prevalence rate in oysters at Capibaribe River reached almost 100% in sublittoral and about 70% of the intertidal region. In other estuaries in the prevalence, rates were lower and did not reach 40% of an infestation. A significant relationship between the oyster size and parasitism rate (\(r = 0.43\)) was found only in the Capibaribe River, with 18% of the variation in the number of parasites explained by the size of shells.

The oysters from the Capibaribe River were most affected by the presence of the spionid *P. websteri*, whereas the oysters from the Sirinhaém River were hardest hit damaged by the spionid *B. ligerica*. The morphological pattern of bubbles caused by both infestations was similar.

*Boccardiella ligerica* was found only in Goiana and Sirinhaém Rivers, despite the low number of individuals found (24), the prevalence of *B. ligerica* was important in the Sirinhaém River, with 25% of oysters colonized, and an infestation rate of 0.5 *B. ligerica* per oyster sampled. In Goiana River only a single oyster showed the presence of *B. ligerica*.

The oysters differed in size since those from Capibaribe were significantly larger than specimens collected in Massangana, Sirinhaém, and Santa Cruz estuaries (Kruskal-Wallis/dunn, \(n = 165; p < 0.05\)) (Figure 3).

The epifaunal polychaetes were found in 80 of 165 oysters sampled. They were represented by 13 species, with a mean richness of 1.6 species per oyster; however, no significant differences were observed in richness and dominance among the studied estuaries. There was a significant linear regression between the length of the shell and the polychaetes number (Table 1). The regression’s slope of Capibaribe and Sirinhaém were significantly different from the other estuaries; conversely, Goiana’s slope was not significantly different from Santa Cruz. The species *P. websteri* accounted for 48% of the total number of polychaetes and the serpulid *F. uschakovi*, 36%; and *B. ligerica* was the third most abundant organism with 10%. In Massangana Estuary only one specimen had epifaunal organisms. There were no differences in structure among the other four estuaries, but, as shown by the MDS plot, differences in species composition occurred (Figure 4).
Figure 3. Average (± S.E.) areas of the shell (length x width) of oysters (*Crassostrea cf. brasiliana*) per estuary.

Table 1. Linear regression between shell length of *Crassostrea cf. brasiliana* and number of epifaunal polychaetes at five estuaries of Pernambuco State coast; \( r^2 \) = Determination coefficient, \( n \) = numbers of oyster with polychaetes. Estuaries: GO = Goiana, SC = Santa Cruz, CP = Capibaribe, MS = Massangana, SR = Sirinhaém.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>GO</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>SR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>33.52</td>
<td>30.61</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slope</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r^2 )</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. Multidimensional scaling plot of oysters *Crassostrea cf. brasiliana* based on polychaete species composition and density. 1 - Goiana estuary, 2 - Santa Cruz, 3 - Capibaribe, 4 - Massangana, 5 - Sirinhaém.
Discussion

The shell blisters infestation in C. cf. brasiliana were different among the estuaries of Pernambuco, and between inter and subtidal areas either. The oysters collected in Capibaribe River showed high infestation and prevalence levels, with shells completely occupied by tubes of P. websteri and almost 100% of sublittoral oysters infested. High infestation rates are usually observed in other places (Ghode & Kripa, 2001; Díaz & Liñero-Arana, 2009). Ghode & Kripa (2001) found oysters (Crassostrea madrasensis) infested by the blister worm Polydora ciliata in the Ashtamudi Lake, India; the infestation rate was higher in farmed than in the natural beds, where more than 50% of oysters older than 24 months presented severe infestation rate. Díaz & Liñero-Arana (2009) analyzed 175 oysters (C. rhizophorae) and found only 10 oysters with severe infestation and 42 with a median infestation.

The prevalence rates larger than 50% of oysters were found in other studies (Wargo & Ford, 1993; Handley, 1995; Handley & Bergquist, 1997; Ghode & Kripa, 2001; Sabry & Magalhães, 2005; Dias & Liñero-Arana, 2009). The mudblisters were present in 68.3% of the wild oysters C. gigas collected from the three study sites and 90% of the commercial oysters (Handley & Bergquist, 1997). The prevalence is increased with age, where 20% of small oysters (<6 months) were infested and all farmed oysters above two years had Polydora infestation (Ghode & Kripa, 2001). In South Brazil, the infestation by polydorids may vary with host and time: C. gigas and C. rhizophorae presented a high prevalence by P. websteri. All C. gigas individuals were infected with polydiariosis parasites (100%) throughout the experimental period; whereas 100% prevalence of C. rhizophorae with polydiariosis was observed only in February and May on oyster cultures (Sabry & Magalhães, 2005).

Díaz & Liñero-Arana (2009) found an infestation prevalence of 64.6% in oysters from La Laguna Costera La Restinga, al Norte de la Isla de Margarita, Venezuela. This lagoon presented high concentrations of organic matter (Salazar et al., 2003), similar as found in the eutrophic estuary Capibaribe River (Feitosa et al., 1999), with the highest prevalence rates of polydorids. In Capibaribe’s estuary, the infestation rate and prevalence of P. websteri were strongly correlated with intertidal exposure levels; the incidence of P. websteri infestations was higher in intertidal, in agreement with other field studies (Handley & Bergquist, 1997; Royer et al., 2006).

In this study, the number of polydorids (blisters) per oysters followed a linear relationship with high infestation rates, and although the relationship is significant, it is statistically very weak. Several factors have been cited to explain the infestation rate in oysters, as shown by Lauckner (1983). The author states that salinity, temperature, and type of substrate are key components to the abundance of P. websteri and that prevalence of up to 100% is not uncommon. Martin & Britayev (1998) showed that polydorids rarely reach infestation rates as high as 1,500 worms to a shell; in general, there are a few dozen worms per shell, as demonstrated by Sabry & Magalhães (2005) and in the present study.

The presence of epifaunal polychaetes in Pernambuco’s estuaries varied significantly among them. The occurrence is increased with the size of the oyster. The higher level of colonization detected in larger bivalves by epifaunal polychaetes was registered in Haliothis rufescens in Southern Chile (Vargas et al., 2005). Royer et al. (2006) and Taylor et al. (1997) identified a diverse epibiota associated to Crassostrea gigas and Pinctada maximum, composed by barnacles (Elminius modestus, Balanus crenatus and Balanus perforatus), serpulid polychaetes (Pomatoceros sp.), spirobids (Spororbis sp.) mytilildes mollusks (Mytilus edulis) and ascidians. Royer et al. (2006) observed that the greater diversity of epibiont organisms occurred in the lower points of the estuary, attributing the highest species richness at the time of immersion. These authors also found that polydorids occur in low numbers in the small-size oysters C. gigas and the number rapidly increased in the intermediate and market sizes. Lesser et al. (1992) and Taylor et al. (1997) argue that epibiont organisms compete for food with the bivalve host and thus decrease the biomass of the phytoplankton available. Oyster’s growths are significantly reduced if its fouling organisms are not removed regularly, as observed for Pinctada maxima (Taylor et al., 1997). Crassostrea rhizophorae supports fouling organisms up to 47% of its upper valve weight without affecting the growth of the valve and tissue; however if the fouling mass weight is greater than 3 fold the upper valve weight and if is located in the and ventral edge of it, mortality will increase (Lodeiros et al., 2007). Thus, it is possible to observe the importance of epibiontic wildlife on growth and survival of oysters (Lodeiros & Himmelman, 2000).
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